

Attorney ID TG-3528

Todd J. Gelfand, Esquire

BARKER, GELFAND & JAMES

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Linwood Greene - Suite 12

210 New Road

Linwood, New Jersey 08221

(609) 601-8677

TGelfand@BarkerLawFirm.net

Attorney for Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police  
Jody Farabella, K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin  
Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Profitt, Jointly, Severally, and in  
the Alternative

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY - CAMDEN

The Estate of Joey Myers and  
Carolyn K Chaudry, as  
Administrator ad Prosequendum of  
the Estate of Joey Myers, and in  
her own right

Plaintiffs

v.

Civil Action

17-cv-01411 (JHR/AMD)

CITY OF MILLVILLE;

CHIEF JODY FARABELLA,

Individually and in his  
official capacity;

OFFICER MICHAEL CALCHI;

OFFICER GAVIN PHILLIPS;

OFFICER JEFFREY PROFITT; and,

JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS 1-10,

Individually and in their  
official capacities

Defendants

---

CONSENT DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY/ PROTECTIVE ORDER

---

It appearing that discovery in the above-captioned action is  
likely to involve the disclosure of confidential information, it

is ORDERED and ADJUDGED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2017, as follows:

1. Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as "Confidential" and subject to this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any document or thing:

- (a) that contains trade secrets, competitively sensitive technical, marketing, financial, sales or other confidential business information, or
- (b) that contains private or confidential personal information, or
- (c) that contains information received in confidence from third parties, or
- (d) which the producing party otherwise believes in good faith to be entitled to protection under Rule 26(c) (1) (G) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5.3.

Any party to this litigation or any third party covered by this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order, who produces or discloses any Confidential material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend:

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 2 of 15

"CONFIDENTIAL"

or

"CONFIDENTIAL--SUBJECT TO CONSENT DISCOVERY  
CONFIDENTIALITY/ PROTECTIVE ORDER"

(hereinafter "Confidential").

2. Any party to this litigation and any third-party shall have the right to designate as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" and subject to this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order any information, document, or thing, or portion of any document or thing that contains highly sensitive business or personal information, the disclosure of which is highly likely to cause significant harm to an individual or to the business or competitive position of the designating party. Any party to this litigation or any third party who is covered by this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order, who produces or discloses any Attorneys' Eyes Only material, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the foregoing or similar legend:

"ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"

or

"ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - SUBJECT TO CONSENT DISCOVERY  
CONFIDENTIALITY/ PROTECTIVE ORDER"

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order

Page 3 of 15

On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

(hereinafter "Attorneys' Eyes Only").

3. All Confidential material shall be used by the receiving party solely for purposes of the prosecution or defense of this action, shall not be used by the receiving party for any business, commercial, competitive, personal or other purpose, and shall not be disclosed by the receiving party to anyone other than those set forth in Paragraph 4, unless and until the restrictions herein are removed either by written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order of the Court. It is, however, understood that counsel for a party may give advice and opinions to his or her client solely relating to the above-captioned action based on his or her evaluation of Confidential material, provided that such advice and opinions shall not reveal the content of such Confidential material except by prior written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by the Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order of the Court.

4. Confidential material and the contents of Confidential material may be disclosed only to the following individuals under the following conditions:

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 4 of 15

- a. Outside counsel (herein defined as any attorney at the parties' outside law firms) and relevant in-house counsel for the parties;
- b. Outside experts or consultants retained by outside counsel for purposes of this action, provided they have signed a non-disclosure agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- c. Secretarial, paralegal, clerical, duplicating and data processing personnel of the foregoing;
- d. The Court and court personnel;
- e. Any deponent may be shown or examined on any information, document or thing designated Confidential if it appears that the witness authored or received a copy of it, was involved in the subject matter described therein or is employed by the party who produced the information, document or thing, or if the producing party consents to such disclosure;
- f. Vendors retained by or for the parties to assist in preparing for pretrial discovery, trial and/or hearings including, but not limited to, court reporters, litigation support personnel, jury consultants, individuals to prepare demonstrative and audiovisual aids for use in the courtroom or in positions or mock jury sessions, as well as their staff, stenographic, and clerical employees whose duties and responsibilities require access to such materials; and
- g. The parties. In the case of parties that are corporations or other business entities, "party" shall mean executives who are required to participate in decisions with reference to this lawsuit.

5. Confidential material shall be used only by individuals permitted access to it under Paragraph 4. Confidential material, copies thereof, and the information contained therein, shall not be disclosed in any manner to any other individual, until and unless:

(a) outside counsel for the party asserting confidentiality waives the claim of confidentiality, or

(b) the Court orders such disclosure.

6. With respect to any depositions which involve a disclosure of Confidential material of a party to this action, such party shall have until thirty (30) days after receipt of the deposition transcript within which to inform all other parties that portions of the transcript are to be designated Confidential, which period may be extended by agreement of the parties. No such deposition transcript shall be disclosed to any individual other than the individuals described in Paragraph 4(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above and the deponent during these thirty (30) days, and no individual attending such a deposition shall disclose the contents of the deposition to any individual other than those described in Paragraph 4(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above during

said thirty (30) days. Upon being informed that certain portions of a deposition are to be designated as Confidential, all parties shall immediately cause each copy of the transcript in its custody or control to be appropriately marked and limit disclosure of that transcript in accordance with Paragraphs 3 and 4.

7. Material produced and marked as Attorneys' Eyes Only may be disclosed only to outside counsel for the receiving party and to such other persons as counsel for the producing party agrees in advance or as Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Ordered by the Court.

8. If counsel for a party receiving documents or information designated as Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only hereunder objects to such designation of any or all of such items, the following procedure shall apply:

- (a) Counsel for the objecting party shall serve on the designating party or third party a written objection to such designation, which shall describe with particularity the documents or information in question and shall state the grounds for objection. Counsel for the designating party or third party shall respond in writing to such objection within 14 days, and shall state with particularity the grounds for asserting that the document or information is Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only. If no timely written response is made to the

objection, the challenged designation will be deemed to be void. If the designating party or nonparty makes a timely response to such objection asserting the propriety of the designation, counsel shall then confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the dispute.

- (b) If a dispute as to a Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only designation of a document or item of information cannot be resolved by agreement, the proponent of the designation being challenged shall present the dispute to the Court initially by telephone or letter, in accordance with Local Civil Rule 37.1(a)(1), before filing a formal motion for an Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order regarding the challenged designation. The document or information that is the subject of the filing shall be treated as originally designated pending resolution of the dispute.

9. All requests to seal documents filed with the Court shall comply with Local Civil Rule 5.3.

10. If the need arises during trial or at any Hearing before the Court for any party to disclose Confidential or Attorneys' Eyes Only information, it may do so only after giving notice to the producing party and as directed by the Court.

11. To the extent consistent with applicable law, the inadvertent or unintentional disclosure of Confidential material that should have been designated as such, regardless of whether the information, document or thing was so designated at the time



of disclosure, shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of a party's claim of confidentiality, either as to the specific information, document or thing disclosed or as to any other material or information concerning the same or related subject matter. Such inadvertent or unintentional disclosure may be rectified by notifying in writing counsel for all parties to whom the material was disclosed that the material should have been designated Confidential within a reasonable time after disclosure. Such notice shall constitute a designation of the information, document or thing as Confidential under this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order.

12. When the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of any information, document or thing protected by privilege or work-product immunity is discovered by the producing party and brought to the attention of the receiving party, the receiving party's treatment of such material shall be in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b) (5) (B). Such inadvertent or mistaken disclosure of such information, document or thing shall not by itself constitute a waiver by the producing party of any claims of privilege or work-product immunity. However, nothing herein

restricts the right of the receiving party to challenge the producing party's claim of privilege if appropriate within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the inadvertent or mistaken disclosure.

13. No information that is in the public domain or which is already known by the receiving party through proper means or which is or becomes available to a party from a source other than the party asserting confidentiality, rightfully in possession of such information on a non-confidential basis, shall be deemed or considered to be Confidential material under this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order.

14. This Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order shall not deprive any party of its right to object to discovery by any other party or on any otherwise permitted ground. This Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order is being entered without prejudice to the right of any party to move the Court for modification or for relief from any of its terms.

15. This Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order shall survive the termination of this action and shall remain in

full force and effect unless modified by an Order of this Court, or by the written stipulation of the parties filed with the Court.

16. Upon final conclusion of this litigation, each party or other individual subject to the terms hereof shall be under an obligation to assemble and to return to the originating source all originals and unmarked copies of documents and things containing Confidential material and to destroy, should such source so request, all copies of Confidential material that contain and/or constitute attorney work product as well as excerpts, summaries and digests revealing Confidential material; provided, however, that counsel may retain complete copies of all transcripts and pleadings including any exhibits attached thereto for archival purposes, subject to the provisions of this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order. To the extent a party requests the return of Confidential material from the Court after the final conclusion of the litigation, including the exhaustion of all appeals therefrom and all related proceedings, the party shall file a motion seeking such relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---



Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Profit,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 11 of 15

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order shall be served upon all counsel within ten (10) days of receipt.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ann Marie Donio, USMJ

We hereby consent to the form, substance, and entry of the within Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order.

LAW OFFICES OF CONRAD J. BENEDETTO	BARKER, GELFAND, JAMES & SARVAS A Professional Corporation
By:  Conrad J. Benedetto, Esquire	By:  Todd J. Gelfand, Esquire
Dated: _____	Dated: <u>11-6-17</u>

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 12 of 15

BARKER, GELFAND, JAMES & SARVAS • A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION • LINWOOD, NEW JERSEY 08221

EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY - CAMDEN

The Estate of Joey Myers and Carolyn  
K Chaudry, as Administrator ad  
Prosequendum of the Estate of Joey  
Myers, and in her own right  
Plaintiffs

v.

CITY OF MILLVILLE;  
CHIEF JODY FARABELLA,  
Individually and in his  
official capacity;  
OFFICER MICHAEL CALCHI;  
OFFICER GAVIN PHILLIPS;  
OFFICER JEFFREY PROFITT; and,  
JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS 1-10,  
Individually and in their  
official capacities  
Defendants

Civil Action  
17-cv-01411 (JHR/AMD)

---

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY  
DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY/PROTECTIVE ORDER

---

I, \_\_\_\_\_, being duly sworn, state  
that:

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 13 of 15

1. My address is \_\_\_\_\_

2. My present employer is \_\_\_\_\_ and  
the address of my present employment is \_\_\_\_\_

3. My present occupation or job description is \_\_\_\_\_

4. I have carefully read and understood the provisions of the  
Discovery Confidentiality/Protective Order in this case signed by  
the Court, and I will comply with all provisions of the Discovery  
Confidentiality/Protective Order.

5. I will hold in confidence and not disclose to anyone not  
qualified under the Discovery Confidentiality/Protective Order any  
confidential material or any words, summaries, abstracts, or indices  
of Confidential Information disclosed to me.

6. I will limit use of Confidential Material disclosed to me  
solely for purposes of this action.

7. No later than the final conclusion of the case, I will  
return all Confidential Material and summaries, abstracts, and  
indices thereof which come into my possession, and documents or

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order

Page 14 of 15

On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

things which I have prepared relating thereto, to counsel for the party for whom I was employed or retained.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

---

Consent Discovery Confidentiality/ Protective Order  
On behalf of the Defendants, The City of Millville, Chief of Police Jody Farabella,  
K-9 Officer Michael Calchi, Officer Gavin Phillips, and Officer Jeffrey Proffitt,  
Jointly, Severally, and in the Alternative  
Estate of Joey Myers, etc. v City of Millville, et al.

Page 15 of 15